

**NEVADA STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS'
APPLICATION TRACKING, EQUIVALENCY, AND MOBILITY
"ATEAM" COMMITTEE**

MEETING MINUTES

August 26, 2022

1. Call to Order/Roll Call to Determine the Presence of a Quorum.

Call to Order: The meeting of the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners' Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee was called to order by Chair Stephanie Holland at 8:32 a.m. The meeting was conducted online via Zoom, with one physical meeting location at the Office of the Board of Psychological Examiners, 4600 Kietzke Lane, Suite B116, Reno, Nevada, 89502.

Roll Call: Committee Chair Stephanie Holland, PsyD, and members Stephanie Woodard, PsyD, and Soseh Esmaeili, PsyD, were present at roll call.

Also present was Lisa Scurry, Executive Director, and member of the public Charlotte Watley.

2. Public Comment.

There was no public comment at this time. Lisa Scurry, Executive Director, stated that no written public comment was received in the Board office prior to the start of the meeting.

3. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Select a Committee Chairperson from The Current Membership: Stephanie Woodard, Soseh Esmaeili, and Stephanie Holland.

The members discussed naming a new committee chair. Member Dr. Esmaeili expressed interest. It was decided that she would take over the role at the September meeting.

On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Stephanie Woodard, the Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee named Soseh Esmaeili as Chair of the ATEAM Committee beginning with the September 2022 meeting. (Yea: Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili and Stephanie Woodard) Motion Carried Unanimously: 3-0

4. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Approval of the Meeting Minutes from the May 20, 2022, Meeting of the Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee.

There were no comments nor proposed changes to the minutes.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Stephanie Holland, the Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee approved the minutes of the May 20, 2022, meeting of the ATEAM Committee. (Yea: Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili and Stephanie Woodard) Motion Carried Unanimously: 3-0

5. (For Possible Action) Review and Possible Action on Applications for Licensure as a Psychologist or Registration as a Psychological Assistant, Intern or Trainee to Determine Equivalency with Nevada Requirements, Including Education and/or Training. (See Attachment A for the List of Applicants for Possible Consideration)

A. Lovejoy, Jodi (Psych)

The application of Dr. Jodi Lovejoy, an applicant for licensure, was reviewed. Director Scurry reminded the members that at the last meeting of the ATEAM committee, it was recommended that Dr. Lovejoy provide additional information related to the educational program and internship. Since that time, Dr. Lovejoy has provided transcripts from the Master's program. Prior to the September meeting, she was asked to provide written confirmation from the internship supervisor that the hours accrued during the internship were not also used as training hours towards social work licensure.

This application will return to a future meeting for further review.

B. Jones, Natalie (Psych)

Dr. Natalie Jones applied for licensure as a psychologist. The initial application reflected a potential deficiency in supervised hours. Since that time, the deficiency has been addressed and corrected. Director Scurry explained that no further review would be necessary for the applicant to establish equivalency.

C. Reed, Jasmine (Psych)

Dr. Jasmine Reed applied for licensure as a psychologist. Review of the licensure requirements determined that while Dr. Reed accrued enough internship hours, she was deficient by approximately 200 hours during her post-doctoral training. Nevada requires 1,750 hours but Dr. Reed early a little more than 1,500 while obtaining licensure in California.

Nevada Administrative Code 641.080 allows for the training hour requirement to be decreased to 1,500 hours if the applicant has been licensed in another jurisdiction for at least 5 years. In Dr. Reed's case, she had only been licensed for 3.8 years.

The application will undergo further review and will return to the next meeting of the ATEAM committee for possible recommendations and determination.

D. Watley, Charlotte (PI)

Charlotte Watley was an applicant for registration as a psychological intern. Member Dr. Woodard conducted a review of the educational program as it was from a school that was not accredited by the American Psychological Association (APA). That review indicated no deficiencies in the program, but Dr. Woodard asked to see the master's program transcripts to ensure it matched the report submitted to the Board office.

It was decided that Dr. Woodard would review the transcript and, if recommendations are needed, the application will return to the September meeting. In the meantime, Dr. Watley was permitted to continue working as a registered psychological intern.

E. Acevedo, Ines (PI)

Ines Acevedo was an applicant for registration as a psychological intern. Director Scurry explained that the application could not be reviewed but will return to the Committee if/when the applicant provides additional documents required for registration.

F. Sommer, Barbara (PI)

Barbara Sommer was an applicant for registration as a psychological intern. Her application was reviewed at a past meeting of the ATEAM Committee. At that time, it was recommended that Member Dr. Holland provide any necessary guidance as to any course deficiencies. As that has occurred and the applicant was made aware of the needed courses, this application will be removed from future agendas.

6. (For Possible Action) Discussion of ATEAM Committee Operating Procedures; and Possible Action to Propose Revisions to and/or Make Recommendations to the Board of Psychological Examiners for Adoption of the Revised Procedures

Director Scurry explained that the operating procedures of the ATEAM Committee are being reviewed and will return to future meetings for revision. She stated that she had been part of a committee created by the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) that was reviewing similar procedures in other states. As part of that work, there was concern expressed that the review forms of the ATEAM Committee were found to have errors. They will be reviewed and brought to a future meeting.

7. (For Possible Action) Discussion of Upcoming Meeting Dates for the ATEAM Committee

- a. The next ATEAM Committee meeting is scheduled for Friday, September 23, 2022, at 8:30 a.m.

There were no concerns expressed about the date/time of the next meeting.

8. Items for Future Discussion. (No discussion among the Committee members will take place on this item.)

There were no suggestions for future meetings.

9. Public Comment.

There was no public comment at this time.

10. (For Possible Action) Adjournment

There being no further business, Chair Holland adjourned the meeting at 9:19 a.m.