

**PUBLIC NOTICE OF A MEETING FOR
STATE OF NEVADA BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS
MEETING MINUTES**

August 22, 2025

1. Call To Order/Roll Call to Determine the Presence of a Quorum.

The meeting of the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners was called to order by President Lorraine Benuto, PhD, at 8:04 a.m. on August 22, 2025, online via "Zoom" and physically at the office of the Board of Psychological Examiners, 3080 S. Durango Drive, Suite 102, Las Vegas, Nevada 89117.

Roll Call: Board President, Lorraine Benuto, PhD, Secretary/Treasurer, Stephanie Woodard, PsyD, members, Soseh Esmaeili, PsyD, Monique Abarca, LCSW, Stephanie Holland, PsyD, and Robert Moering, PsyD were present at roll call. Catherine Pearson, PhD was absent. There was a quorum of the Board members.

Also present were Deputy Attorney General (DAG) Harry Ward; Board Consultant Gary Lenkeit; Board Investigator Sheila Young; Executive Director Laura Arnold; Administrative Director Sarah Restori; members of the public: Donald Hoier, Jodi Thomas, Michelle Paul, Traci Waters, Tara Raines, Brian Lech, Akiko Hinds, Brian Gotti, Krisanne Alvarez, Lindsey Bondiek, Charlie Smith, Sabrina Schnur, Lorna Harrison, Mary Marcu, Peter Jones, and Becky Savio.

2. Public Comment. Note: Public comment is welcomed by the Board and may be limited to three minutes per person at the discretion of the Board President. Public comment will be allowed at the beginning and end of the meeting, as noted on the agenda. The Board President may allow additional time to be given a speaker as time allows and in their sole discretion. Comments will not be restricted based on viewpoint. No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken (NRS 241.020).

DAG Ward wanted to remind any members of the public who may be here to comment on a pending complaint that our Deputy Attorney General has requested that no public comment be made on pending complaints.

There was no public comment.

3. (For Possible Action) Workshop to Solicit Comments on Proposed Regulation Revisions to Align with 2025 SB251 (Provisional Licensure for

Psychological Assistants and Psychological Interns) and 2025 AB196 (repealing the requirement to register firms, partnerships, or corporations with the Board) (See Public Notice – Attachment A) and Possible Action to Forward the Proposed Regulation Revisions to a Hearing at a Future Meeting of the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners in Accordance with NRS Chapter 233B.

Dr. Benuto shared that at its June 13, 2025 meeting, the Board approved moving forward with a regulation workshop to update its regulations in line with two newly passed laws: Senate Bill 251, which changes the status of Psychological Assistants and Interns from "Registered" to "Provisionally Licensed," and Assembly Bill 196, which removes the requirement for firms, partnerships, and corporations to register with the Board.

There was no public comment.

On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Stephanie Woodard, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved moving the regulation forward to a regulation hearing at a future Board meeting. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

4. (For Possible Action) Public Hearing to Solicit Comments on a Regulation (Legislative Counsel Bureau File Number R192-24) Proposed for Adoption; Possible Action to Make Revisions to and/or Forward the Proposed Regulation(s) to the Legislative Counsel Bureau in Accordance with NRS Chapter 233B. (See Public Notice for Information on the Draft Regulation – Attachment B)

Dr. Benuto shared that on May 15, 2024, the Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB) completed a codification of NAC Chapter 641, incorporating 13 regulation revisions from 2017 to 2022. During review, the executive director identified discrepancies, particularly regarding NAC 641.120, which governs the national exam. This regulation was repealed in 2017 but mistakenly reappeared in 2019 without Board approval. The LCB clarified that the 2017 repeal was valid and the 2019 inclusion was an error. Because NAC 641.120 addresses critical exam retake policies (similar to NAC 641.112 for the state exam), the Board decided at its June 7, 2024 meeting to reinstate it with updated language reflecting current practices. A revised version was reviewed and approved with a minor edit at the July 12, 2024 meeting, moving it forward to a regulation workshop.

The workshop was held on August 9, 2024, where the Board approved sending the draft to the LCB. The LCB returned Draft R192-24, which is now scheduled for a regulation hearing, properly noticed on July 21, 2025.

There was no public comment.

On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Robert Moering, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved LCB draft R192-24. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

5. Minutes. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Approve the Minutes of the State of Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners' June 13, 2025, Meeting.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Stephanie Holland, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved the meeting minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board held on June 13, 2025. Robert Moering approved the minutes as to form, but not content. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

6. Financials

A. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Approve the Final Treasurer's Report for Fiscal Year 2025 (July 1, 2024 - June 30, 2025).

The Executive Director presented the Treasurer's Report. She shared that as of June 30, 2025, which marked the end of FY25, Board had just under a combined total of \$523,000 in checking and savings. The total amount of income for FY25 (the amount allocated in deferred income to FY25 plus the regular FY25 income) was just about \$301,000. After accounting for the expenses for FY25, there was about \$64,400 left over, which will be cast into the budget for FY26.

The Board's bookkeeper, Michelle Fox, has verified and validated the information being provided in this Treasurer's report.

On motion by Robert Moering, second by Monique Abarca, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved the Treasurer's Report for Fiscal Year 2025. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

- B. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Approve the Budget for Fiscal Year 2026.

The Executive Director explained the deferred revenue flow and presented the proposed budget for fiscal year 2026.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Monique Abarca, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved the proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2026. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

- C. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Approve the Treasurer's report for Fiscal Year 2026.

The Executive Director shared the FY26 treasurer's report and shared that it covers only the first month, with no major updates. The only notable item is higher legal fees due to delayed AG billings from FY25 (March–May), all received in July. Legal expenses will be monitored and adjusted as needed.

On motion by Robert Moering, second by Stephanie Woodard, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved the Treasurer's Report for Fiscal Year 2026. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

- D. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Revise the Board's Renewed Independent Contractor Agreement with its bookkeeper, Michelle Fox.

The Executive Director has revised the Bookkeeper's contract to state that it is not to exceed \$2,000. Michelle agreed this is doable considering that she only provides at most a few hours of service each month, which is within that amount.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Monique Abarca, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved the revised agreement for the Board's bookkeeper. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

- E. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to designate Board Members and/or Staff to Attend the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) annual meeting, October 22-26, 2026, in St. Louis, Missouri for a combined total expenditure of approximately \$6,500.00.

The ASPPB conference is set for October 22–26 in St. Louis. Ms. Restori, Dr. Woodard, and Dr. Young will attend (Dr. Woodard's registration fees will be waived). Board

approval is requested to send all three, with a total estimated cost of \$6,500. Ms. Restori shared she applied for and received a grant for \$1000 for these expenses.

On motion by Robert Moering, second by Monique Abarca, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved the designated Board representatives to attend ASPPB's annual conference. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

7. Legislative/Regulation Update

The Executive Director shared a regulation update and stated that the hearing for R192-24 is complete, and next steps will be submitted to the LCB for Legislative Commission review. She has received the LCB draft of R001-25 (continuing education/CPD) and will schedule its hearing for the October meeting.

For legislation, she shared that work is progressing on key items from today's regulation workshop and the SB165 agenda item on the Board's new licensure designation.

8. Report from the Nevada Psychological Association.

There were no updates from NPA.

9. Report from the Board Office on Operations.

A. Report From the Board Office on Operations.

The Administrative Director presented the Board office statistics. She shared that in June and July, the Board licensed 14 new Psychologists, received 16 licensure applications, and administered 17 state exams. As of the end of July, the Board has 716 active licensees and 147 applicants for licensure. She went on to share that for those they register, the psychological assistants, psychological interns, and psychological trainees, there were a total of 77 that are registered and 35 active applications.

The Executive Director showed a graph detailing the increase in licensure activity and Board activity in the last 3 years which showed a significant increase.

B. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Renew Dr. Gary Lenkeit as the Nevada PsyPact Commissioner for a One-Year Term Beginning July 1, 2025, and Ending June 30, 2026; or Announce the Position as Open to the Licensed Psychologists in the State of Nevada for Selection by the Board at a Future Meeting.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Robert Moering, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved renewing Dr. Lenkeit as the PsyPact commissioner for the Board. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

C. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action on Dr. Gary Lenkeit's PsyPact Update.

Dr. Lenkeit shared that there are currently 43 participating jurisdictions with PsyPact with legislation in several states. He also shared that ASPPB through the Mobility Committee has provided equivalency standards for those who have not graduated from APA-accredited programs effective July 1, 2025.

D. Board Officer Voting.

Tabled for future Board meeting.

10. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action on Pending Consumer Complaints:

A. Complaint #19-0626

DAG Ward shared the hearing is scheduled for November 12-14, 2025. The location has yet to be decided on. The respondent had filed motions for summary judgment in both cases, on which the hearing officer conducted a hearing. On July 15, 2025, the hearing officer entered orders denying the respondent's summary judgment motions, except for one claim for relief in Complaint #19-0626. A pretrial motion has also been submitted and ruled on.

B. Complaint #23-0918

DAG Ward shared this complaint alleging various claims of improper conduct. Respondent is represented by counsel, with whom potential resolution has been discussed. A proposed disciplinary consent decree was forwarded to counsel for respondent, with whom DAG has followed up.

C. Complaint #24-0103

DAG Ward shared the hearing is scheduled for November 12-14, 2025. The respondent had filed motions for summary judgment in both cases, on which the hearing officer conducted a hearing. On July 15, 2025, the hearing officer entered orders denying the respondent's summary judgment motions, except for one claim for relief in Complaint #19-0626. A pretrial motion has also been submitted and ruled on.

D. Complaint #24-0312(1)

DAG ward shared this Cease and Desist letter regarding language on websites was sent and to which a response was provided. The Cease and desist letter and response were also forwarded to the NY and CA Boards. DAG to send follow up cease and desist to remove additional language from all online presences.

E. Complaint #24-0312(2)

DAG Ward shared this is a complaint regarding failure of timely reporting. The respondent agreed to a disciplinary consent decree, which is included in the meeting materials for the Board's review. This is the consent decree that was before the Board last month and for which approval was withdrawn so that it could be revised to include supervision in the disciplinary measures.

On motion by Robert Moering, second by Monique Abarca, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved the Consent Agreement for Complaint #24-0312(2). (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

F. Complaint #24-0605

DAG Ward shared this complaint was received regarding misrepresentation of credentials and practicing without a license based on online services being offered, and providing information regarding discipline in 2 other jurisdictions for the same conduct. The complainant was concerned the respondent would seek licensure in Nevada without reporting disciplinary history. Cease and desist letter served on and answered by the respondent. DAG and investigator have monitored respondent's online information, which has remained in compliance with the cease and desist letter ever since.

On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Robert Moering, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved closing Complaint #24-0605. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

G. Complaint #24-0607

DAG Ward shared this is a self-report from licensee regarding 2 recent misdemeanor convictions. A proposed negotiated draft consent decree has been forwarded to counsel for respondent without response from counsel. Complaints team continues to discuss and consider the case.

H. Complaints #24-0711

#24-0719

#24-0726

#24-0823

DAG Ward shared these are four complaints against same psychologist. The respondent, though counsel, has responded to the complaints, and all complaints have been forwarded to appropriate federal agencies. Witness interviews have taken place,

DAG has received information from a federal agency that is involved, and continues to work with certain federal agencies to obtain additional information.

On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Monique Abarca, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved a 90-day investigation deadline for Complaints #24-0711, 0719, 0726, 0823. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

I. Complaint #24-0730

DAG Ward shared this is a complaint for unlicensed practice, in response to which the DAG sent Cease and Desist letters via restricted delivery, but had been unable to perfect service. The cease and desist letter has now been personally served, and a response was due July 25, 2025. No response has been provided. The respondent's non-response to repeated cease and desist letters has been forwarded to MFT Board, which licenses respondent.

J. Complaint #24-0829

Complaint regarding client documentation. The investigation is complete, and a draft consent decree has been forwarded to and signed by the respondent.

On motion by Monique Abarca, second by Robert Moering, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved the Consent Agreement for Complaint #24-0829. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

K. Complaint #24-0903

DAG Ward shared this is a complaint received regarding an individual advertising unlicensed activity. A cease and Desist letter served and answered. The Board Investigator continued to monitor respondent's social media and had it interpreted into English. A follow up cease and desist was served based on the information in the English translation, and respondent has responded. Further communications with the respondent are pending.

L. Complaint #24-1202

DAG Ward shared this is a complaint regarding unlicensed practice. Complaint forwarded to respondent, who responded to the complaint. Investigator requested cease and desist letter from DAG, which has been sent to respondent and answered.

A complaint alleged that a Registered Behavior Technician (RBT) conducted an autism evaluation and provided a diagnosis without proper supervision or qualifications, violating NRS 641.025 and the RBT Ethics Code. The respondent admitted to using a screening tool (CARS 2-HF) but denied giving a formal diagnosis. However, their report included potentially misleading diagnostic language. A cease-and-desist was issued for

practicing psychology without a license, which the respondent agreed to follow. The investigator and DAG Ward recommended dismissing the case.

On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Robert Moering, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved dismissal of Complaint #24-1202. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

M. Complaint #25-0110

DAG Ward shared this is a complaint for unlicensed practice, which was forwarded to the respondent, and which the respondent answered. Based on the information in the respondent's answer, DAG has prepared draft consent decree to forward to respondent.

N. Complaint #25-0324

DAG Ward shared this is a complaint regarding unethical conduct in a professional setting. Complaint and additional information forwarded to respondent, who retained counsel. All available information in the case was forwarded to counsel for respondent. Response has been received and based on the information provided and reviewed, dismissal is recommended and requested.

The complainant accused the respondent of unethical conduct, intimidation, emotional manipulation, retaliation, and misogynistic behavior in a professional psychology setting. The respondent, through legal counsel, denied the allegations, arguing that they do not violate NRS statutes or APA ethical codes, and that the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners (NBOPE) lacks jurisdiction. The respondent also submitted evidence that the complainant had been sanctioned by their mutual professional organization, suggesting the complaint was retaliatory. After reviewing documentation from both parties, including emails and disciplinary records, the NBOPE found insufficient evidence to support the complainant's claims and determined no violations of NRS 641, NAC 641, or the APA Code of Ethics occurred.

On motion by Robert Moering, second by Monique Abarca, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved dismissal of Complaint #25-0324. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

O. Complaint #25-0331

Tabled for a future Board meeting.

P. Complaint #25-0410

Update to be provided at a future Board meeting,

Q. Complaint #25-0414

Update to be provided at a future Board meeting.

R. Complaint #25-0519(1)

DAG Ward shared this is a complaint for unethical conduct via PsyPact authorization services into NV. A response to the complaint has been received. Based on the information provided in the complaint and the answer, the investigator and DAG Ward recommends and requests dismissal.

On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Monique Abarca, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved dismissal of Complaint #25-0519(1). (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

S. Complaint #25-0519(2)

DAG Ward shared this is a complaint against former supervisor regarding training verification. Records release from complainant requested and received. Complaint and records release forwarded to the respondent for a response, which has been provided. Based on information provided, investigator and DAG Ward recommends and requests dismissal.

On motion by Monique Abarca, second by Robert Moering, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved dismissal of Complaint #25-0519(2). (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

T. Complaint #25-0616

DAG Ward shared this is a complaint alleging Negligence, Patient abandonment, and malpractice. Respondent has responded to the complaint; investigator and DAG Ward recommends dismissal.

On motion by Monique Abarca, second by Stephanie Holland, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved dismissal of Complaint #25-0616. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

U. Complaint #25-0715

Update to be provided at a future Board meeting.

V. Complaint #25-0721

Update to be provided at a future Board meeting.

W. Complaint #25-0812(1)

Update to be provided at a future Board meeting.

X. Complaint #25-0812(2)

Update to be provided at a future Board meeting.

11. (For Possible Action) Review and Possible Action on Applications for Licensure as a Psychologist or Registration as a Psychological Assistant, Intern, or Trainee. The Board May Convene in Closed Session to Receive Information Regarding Applicants, Which May Involve Considering the Character, Alleged Misconduct, Professional Competence or Physical or Mental Health of the Applicant (NRS 241.030). All Deliberation and Action Will Occur in an Open Session.

The following applicants are recommended for approval of licensure contingent upon completion of licensure requirements: **Alyssa Cohen, Ahmed Elsokkary, Troy Bruner, Genna Mashinchi, Clary Tepper, Jacqueline Rinaldi, Anna Lujan Sondgroth, Michael Whitman, Shoshana Katz Shumaker, Shantay Mines, Marissa Vasquez, Jay Rosen, Judith Nurik, Cynthia Villaverde, Joseph Latham, Paul Krebs, Jazmin White, and Yana Ryjova.**

On motion by Monique Abarca, second by Robert Moering, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved the following applicants for licensure contingent upon completion of licensure requirements: Alyssa Cohen, Ahmed Elsokkary, Troy Bruner, Genna Mashinchi, Clary Tepper, Jacqueline Rinaldi, Anna Lujan Sondgroth, Michael Whitman, Shoshana Katz Shumaker, Shantay Mines, Marissa Vasquez, Jay Rosen, Judith Nurik, Cynthia Villaverde, Joseph Latham, Paul Krebs, Jazmin White, and Yana Ryjova. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

- **(For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action on whether a licensure applicant's background check findings and professional conduct history will constitute disqualification for licensure.**

Dr. Benuto reported that the applicant has background and conduct issues that the review panel wanted the full Board to consider regarding possible disqualification from licensure. The applicant is not currently licensed elsewhere: her license was suspended in one state in 2017, not renewed, and her 2022 re-licensure application was denied for failing to disclose prior disciplinary action. She had surrendered her license in California in 2020 due to that disciplinary matter stemming from a 2017 incident. The applicant has submitted a letter of explanation and supporting documents for the Board's review.

Dr. Waters did not feel it was necessary to discuss her application in closed meeting. She acknowledged the bases for the prior licensure issues, and shared that she has been in recovery for the last eight years. The Board acknowledged the efforts that Dr. Waters had put into her recovery.

Ms. Restori confirmed that Dr. Waters has completed all other requirements for licensure including passing the State Exam.

On motion by Robert Moering, second by Stephanie Woodard, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved Dr. Rhea Tracy Waters application for licensure. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

12. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Establish a Behavioral Health and Wellness Practitioner Advisory Group as Required by 2025 SB165.

President Benuto shared that during its 2025 session, the Nevada legislature passed SB165, which creates a new licensure designation that is to be housed in and regulated by the Board of Psychological Examiners. That new licensure designation is the Behavioral Health and Wellness Practitioners. The Board's first task is to establish the Behavioral Health and Wellness Practitioner Advisory Group to assist the Board in all that will be required of the Board by the new legislation.

Dr. Paul recommended an interdisciplinary composition for the advisory group. The Board raised concerns about an advisory group being too large to meet draft timelines. The Executive Director made the recommendation of starting with five members of the advisory group so they may start working on the regulations and then expand the advisory group. The Board agreed to start with a small advisory group then revisit the advisory group and add more professionals as the different tasks change.

There was consensus among the Board to start with an advisory group that consisted of the following four members: one representative from the NSHE education and training curricular programs who communicates with the other two core curriculum programs, one national expert possibly from the Ballmer Institute, one regulatory expert, and one NBOPE Board representative.

Dr. Raines shared that she did have individuals in mind that would be ready to serve in the different roles. Dr. Raines and Dr. Paul shared that they would be able to provide these names to the Board, including finding an APRN within the nursing community.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Robert Moering, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved establishing an SB165 Behavioral Health and Wellness Practitioner Advisory Group of four members with the intention to add more members. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh

Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

13. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Approve Revisions to the Psychological Assistant and Psychological Intern Policies to Align with 2025 SB251.

Tabled for a future Board meeting.

14. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Approve Providing One Continuing Education Credit to Licensees who Complete the ASPPB's Job Task Analysis (JTA) Survey and Provide Proof of Completion.

Dr. Young is requesting 1 continuing education credit be offered to those who provide proof of completing ASPPB's Job Task Analysis.

On motion by Monique Abarca, second by Robert Moering, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved the Board providing one continuing education credit to Licensees who complete the ASPPB's Job Task Analysis Survey and provide proof of completion. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

15. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Approve the Executive Director's Vacation request.

The Executive Director requested using 10 vacation days.

On motion by Monique Abarca, second by Robert Moering, the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners approved the Executive Director's vacation request. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Soseh Esmaeili, Monique Abarca, Robert Moering, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 6-0.

16. (For Possible Action) Schedule of Future Board Meetings, Hearings, and Workshops. The Board May Discuss and Decide Future Meeting Dates, Hearing Dates, and Workshop Dates.

The next regular meeting of the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners is currently scheduled for Friday, October 24, 2025, beginning at 8:00 a.m.

17. Requests for Future Board Meeting Agenda Items (No Discussion Among the Members will Take Place on this Item)

There were no requests for future Board Meeting agenda items.

18. Public Comment - Public comment is welcomed by the Board and may be limited to three minutes per person at the discretion of the Board President. Public comment will be allowed at the beginning and end of the meeting, as noted on the agenda. The Board President may allow additional time to be given a speaker as time allows and in their sole discretion. Comments will not be restricted based on viewpoint. No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken (NRS 241.020)

There was no public comment.

19. (For Possible Action) Adjournment

There being no further business before the Board, President Dr. Benuto adjourned the meeting at 10:30 a.m.